1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3 4 5 6 7	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. FIBERSTARS, INC., a California corporation,	Case No. C 05-01391 WHA PROPOSED ORDER RE: SCHEDULE FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	
8	Defendant.		
9)	
10	Pursuant to this Court's Order of July 7,	2005, the Parties, after meeting and conferring in	
11	good-faith stipulate to, and respectfully submit, the following Schedule for Claim Construction.		
12	The Parties note that the proposed schedule, though highly compressed to meet the accelerated		
13	date of the claim-construction hearing order by the Court, preserves much of the procedure and		
14	timing set forth in the Patent Local Rules. However, the Parties note, and respectfully request		
15	that the Court confirm, that the proposed schedule provides that Plaintiff's Reply Brief be filed		
16	and served nine (9) days prior to the claims construction hearing, rather than the fourteen (14)		
17	days provided pursuant to the Local Patent Rules. This alteration was necessary to ensure that		
18	each Party was provided with a fair and reasonable amount of time to analyze each other's		
19	respective disclosures and adequately brief the issues for the Court in light of the foreshortening		
20	of other Local Patent Rule-specified deadlines which resulted from the expedited hearing date		
21	designated by the Court.		
22	////		
23	////		
24	////		
25	1111		
26	1111		
27	////		
28	////		

1	Due Date	Under the Rules	Pentair's Proposal	
2	Infringement Contentions	Friday, July 22, 2005	Friday, July 22, 2005	
3	Invalidity Contentions	Monday, September 5, 2005	Friday, August 26, 2005 Monday, September 5, 2005	
4	Exchange Proposed Terms	Thursday, September 15, 2005	Wednesday, August 31, 2005 Thursday, September 8, 2005	
5	Disclosure of Extrinsic	Wednesday, October 5, 2005	Vednesday, September 7, 2005 Monday, September 12, 2005	
6	Evidence to be Relied Upon for Claims Construction			
7	(includes expert reports)	Wednesday, September 14, 2005		
8	Plaintiff's Opening Markman Monday, December 19, 2005		Monday, September 19, 2005	
9	Brief			
10	Tutorial for Court		Wednesday, September 21, 2005	
11	Defendant's Responsive	Monday, January 2, 2006	Friday, September 23, 2005 Thursday, September 29, 2005	
12	Brief			
13	Plaintiff's Reply Markman	Monday, January 9, 2006	ednesday, September 28, 2005 Monday, October 3, 2005	
14	Brief			
15	Markman Hearing	Monday, January 23, 2006	Wednesday, October 12, 2005	
16	It is so ordered.		o ordered.	
17	Dated: July 22, 2005	July 22, 2005 Respectfully submitted, SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL CONTROL LLP		
18	Dated. July 22, 2003			
19				
20 21		Rv: /S/ Pa	nul N. Tauger	
22	By: <u>/S/ Paul N. Tauger</u> PAUL N. TAUGER Attorneys for Plaintiff		AUGER	
23		2	POOL AND SPA, INC.	
24				
25	Dated: July 22, 2005	HOWREY, LLP		
26		D (2/22	T. Cl.	
27		By: <u>/S/ K.</u> K. T. CHERI	IAN	
28	Attorneys for Defendant FIBERSTARS, INC.			
		- 2 –		